



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

Moser, Patterson & Sheridan, L.L.P.  
Suite 100  
595 Shrewsbury Ave.  
Shrewsbury NJ 07702

**COPY MAILED**

**NOV 28 2007**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Patent No. 7,050,065 :  
Issue Date: May 23, 2006 :  
Application No. 10/825,259 : DECISION GRANTING PETITION  
Filed: April 15, 2004 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)  
Attorney Docket No. :  
NVDA/P000715 :

This is a decision on the petition, filed October 1, 2007, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to accept an unintentionally delayed notification to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) of the filing of an application in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty that requires publication of applications eighteen-months after filing. See 37 CFR 1.137(f).

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is GRANTED.

Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application is the subject of an application filed in an eighteen-month publication country on April 25, 2005. However, the USPTO was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in an eighteen-month publication country. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c), petitioner failed to timely notify the USPTO of the filing of a counterpart application in a foreign country or under a multilateral international agreement that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to accept an unintentionally delayed notification to the USPTO of the filing of a counterpart application in an eighteen-month publication country must be accompanied by:

- (1) the required reply which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;
- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The instant petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(b). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the USPTO of a foreign or international filing within 45 days as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

This application matured into Patent No. 7,050,065 on May 23, 2006. Therefore, no further action in this case is required.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3218.



Frances Hicks  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions

cc:

John C. Carey  
Patterson & Sheridan, LLP  
2040 Post Oak Blvd., Suite 1500  
Houston, TX 77056

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for transparency and accountability, particularly in financial matters.

2. The second part outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. This includes both traditional manual methods and modern digital technologies, highlighting the advantages of each approach.

3. The third part focuses on the challenges faced during the data collection process. It addresses issues such as data quality, consistency, and the potential for bias, providing strategies to mitigate these risks.

4. The fourth part discusses the ethical considerations surrounding data collection and analysis. It stresses the need for informed consent, data protection, and the responsible use of information.

5. The fifth part presents the results of the study, showing the effectiveness of the proposed methods and the insights gained from the data analysis.

6. The sixth part concludes the document by summarizing the key findings and offering recommendations for future research and practice.